



Leinster Inter Club League AGM 2026 Alternative Club Scoring System

Option 1:

To keep the existing system in place.

Option 2:

Proposed by Naul CPSC

On behalf of Naul CPSC, we wish to present our proposed classifications and handicaps for the 2026 Leinster League season. Following a detailed review of the 2025 results, all shooters were redistributed into new classes based on their Best 7 Scores, resulting in a more balanced structure and the introduction of seven competitive classes.

Class	Average Score	Numbers in Each Class	2026 Handicap (Proposed)	Average Difference to AAA Handicap	Proposed
AAA	46.4	32	45 and above	—	—
AA1	43.8	49	43 to 44.99	2.6	2
AA2	41.8	45	41 to 42.99	4.5	4
A1	40.0	40	39 to 40.99	6.3	6
A2	38.1	60	37 to 38.99	8.2	8
B1	35.9	43	35 to 36.99	10.4	10
B2	33.5	40	32 to 34.99	12.9	12
Combined C&D (CD)	27.4	46	Under 31.99	18.9	18

Key Benefits of the Proposed Structure

- **Greater Balance:** Each class would have a more consistent number of shooters, ensuring fair competition across all levels.
- **Enhanced Competitiveness:** With more evenly sized classes, there will be greater opportunities for shooters to compete for class prizes.
- **Fair Progression:** The refined classification system provides clearer performance benchmarks, making it easier for competitors to track their development.
- **Inclusivity:** The combined C&D category ensures participation and recognition for developing shooters while maintaining competitive integrity.

Option 3:

Proposed by the Committee



Sample Comparison

Two sample comparisons were conducted to evaluate the classification structure, focusing on the results from the final two shoots of the previous year at Naul and Carrick Glen.

Approach 1: Top 2 by Established Class

In the first comparison, the top two scores were selected from each of the AA, A, B, and C classes. If a class did not have enough shooters to fill the top two spots, the next highest score from the class above was used to ensure representation.

Approach 2: Top 2 by Day Classification

The second method also selected the top two scores from the AA, A, B, and C classes, but based on day-of-event classifications. This day classification was used solely for club scoring purposes; individual shooters remained in their established class for official records. As with the first approach, if a class was short a score, the next highest score from the class above was taken.

Club Scoring Rule

In both comparison methods, clubs that did not field eight shooters continued to receive zero points

Conclusion

The results of the sample comparisons highlight the influence of classification methods on club scoring outcomes. While both approaches, using established class rankings and day-of-event classifications affected the final scores, Approach 2, which relied on day classification for club scoring, produced the most noticeable changes. This method led to a more equitable reflection of shooters' performance on the day, ensuring that the club scores better represented the actual competition results.

We request that you give all of the above due consideration.

Yours in Shooting

Clara Flynn
League Secretary